Punishment of Mr. J. T. Jesudasan, Headmaster, Vanchiyur English High School.

180. **MR. JOSEPH KUNJU** (*Changanachery* cum *Peermade*):- Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) whether Mr. J. T. Jesudasan, Headmaster of the Vanchiyur English High School, has been punished by them for official irregularities;

(*b*) if so, the reason or reasons for punishing him; and

(*c*) the nature of the punishment awarded to him?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Defiance of authority.

(*c*) Suspension from 15-11-1106 to 31-10-1107.

Dress regulation for lawyers.

181. **MR. R. S. SUBRAHMANYA PILLAI** (*Kalkulam* cum *Vilavankod*): Will the Government be pleased to state

(*a*) whether there is any standing order of the High Court enjoining graduates in law practising as lawyers to wear black coats and gowns when they appear in courts; and

(*b*) if the answer to part (*a*) is in the affirmative, whether the rule is enforced in the case of lady graduates in law practising as lawyers in courts?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): (*a*) Yes. *Vide* Rule 555 of the *Civil Courts' Guide*.

(*b*) In the case of lady graduates in law, the black coat is not insisted on. But, in other respects, the rule is enforced in their cases also.

Illegal exactions in public markets.

182. **MR. S. CHATTANATHA KARAYALAR** (*Pattanapuram* cum *Shencotta*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) whether there have been complaints of illegal exactions in public markets by the lessees or their agents; and

(*b*) whether any action has been taken in the matter?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): (*a*) Complaints have been received against the lessees of the following markets:—

(1) the Myladi market in the Agastisvaram taluk;

(2) the Venjaramoodu market in the Neduvangad taluk;

(3) the Chempil market in the Vaikam taluk; and

(4) the Arur market in the Shertallai taluk.

(*b*) (1) In regard to the allegations against the lessee of the Mailadi market, the matter is reported to be under enquiry.

(2) The allegations contained in the petition against the contractor of the Venjaramoodu market were found to be unfounded.

(3) The complaint against the lessee of the Chempil market was enquired into by the Vaikam Tahsildar and it was found that the

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly